C	se 8:13-cv-01497-SVW-JPR Document 1	Filed 09/24/13 Page 1 of 19 Page ID #:4		
		FILED		
1	Jim Q. Tran (SBN# 274880)			
2	COAST LAW CENTER	2013 SEP 24 PM 3: 34		
3	2677 North Main Street, Suite 520 Santa Ana, CA 92705	CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT		
4	Phone: 714-242-5939 Fax: 949-429-4354	CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF. SANTA ANA		
5	jim.tran@coastlawcenter.com	BY		
6	Attorney for Plaintiff	•		
7				
8	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT		
9		RICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	CARLA MARIA CREHIN,	CASE NO.: SACV13-01497 SVW (JPRx)		
11	Plaintiff,			
12	v.	COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT, AND		
13		CALIFORNIA CONSUMER CREDIT		
14	ARS NATIONAL SERVICES  Defendant.	REPORTING AGENCIES ACT		
15	Defendant.	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL		
16		,		
17	Plaintiff, CARLA MARIA CREHIN, (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), through his counsel brings his			
18	complaint against, ARS NATIONAL SERVICES, (hereinafter "Defendant"), for violations of the			
19	Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq. (hereinafter "FCRA"), and California			
20	Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act, California Civil Code § 1785 .25 et seq. ("CCRAA"),			
21	and alleges as follows:			
22	PRELIMINARY STATEMENT			
23	1. Congress enacted the FCRA to establish consumer rights to privacy over their			
24	credit and financial information and to ensure the "accuracy and fairness of credit reporting." 15			
25	U.S.C. § 1681.			
26		al Findings and Statement of Purpose, 15 U.S.C. §		
27		ed to insure that consumer credit reporting agencies		
28	exercise their grave responsibilities with fairness, impartiality, and a respect for the consumer's			

2.1

right to privacy."

- 3. The FCRA, under Congressional Findings and Statement of Purpose, 15 U.S.C. § 1681(b) reads in relevant part: "Reasonable procedures. It is the purpose of this title to require that consumer reporting agencies adopt reasonable procedures for meeting the needs of commerce for consumer credit, personnel, insurance, and other information in a manner which is fair and equitable to the consumer with regard to the confidentiality, accuracy, relevancy, and proper utilization of such information in accordance with the requirements of this title."
- 4. The statute governing "permissible purposes of consumer reports," FCRA 15 U.S.C. §1681b, provides in pertinent part: "(a) In general. Subject to subsection (c) of this section, any consumer reporting agency may furnish a consumer report under the following circumstances and no other."
- 5. Thus the strictly limited provisions set forth in §1681b operate to support the confidentiality of consumer reports by limiting their dissemination.
- 6. The FCRA regulates credit reporting agencies as well as creditors, collection agencies, and other parties who provide information to credit reporting agencies and/or obtain and use the consumer credit reports. 15 U.S.C. §1681b identifies the permissible purposes allowed under the act for conducting credit reviews on consumers.
- 7. 15 U.S.C. §1681n and §1681o, create private right of action consumers can bring against violators of any provision of the FCRA with regards to their credit. In *DiMezza v. First USA Bank, Inc.*, 103 F. Supp.2d 1296, 1300 (D.N.M. 2000) the court confirmed that "...the plain language of [15 U.S.C. § 1681n and §1681o] provide a private right of action for a consumer against furnishers of information who have willfully or negligently failed to perform their duties upon notice of a dispute...there is a private right of action for consumers to enforce the investigation and reporting duties imposed on furnishers of information." *DiMezza v. First USA Bank, Inc.*, 103 F. Supp.2d 1296, 1300 (D.N.M. 2000).
- 8. CCRAA was implemented to protect the credit information of California consumers. CCRAA also regulates consumer credit reporting agencies and furnishers of information with respect to personal, credit and other financial information submitted and

7

10 11

12

13 14

> 15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

26

25

27 28 maintained in their credit file. CCRAA in California Civil Code § 1785.25-1785.26 refrains furnishers of information from reporting information that they know or should have known was erroneous, and obligates furnishers to cease credit reporting of information disputed by consumers without notice of such dispute.

- 9. Similar to FCRA Section 604, 15 U. S. C. §1681b, California Civil Code §1785.11 identifies the lawful reasons that would allow for a credit review on any given consumer.
- CCRAA provides consumers with the right to be informed of negative credit 10. reporting and the right to dispute information in their credit reports, which they believe is incomplete and/or inaccurate. Consumers also have the right to bring civil action against violators of any provision of the CCRAA with respect to their rights and their credit, and to seek monetary damages. California Civil Code §1785.19 and §1785.31.
- Sanai v. Saltz, et al., (Cal. App. 2d Dist. Jan. 26, 2009) established that consumers 11. may replead their FCRA claims as violations of the CCRAA and that the state claims are not preempted by FCRA. In further support, courts have uniformly rejected creditors' and consumer reporting agencies' arguments that the FCRA bars state law claims. See Sehl v. Safari Motor Coaches, Inc., U.S.D.C. N.D. Cal. 2001; Harper v. TRW, 881F. Supp. 294 (U.S.D.C. S.D. Mich. 1995); Rule v. Ford Receivables, 36 F. Supp.2d 335 (U. S.D.C. S.D. Va. 1999); Watkins v. Trans Union, 118 F. Supp.2d 1217 (U.S.D.C. N.D. Ala. 2000); Swecker v. Trans Union, 31 F. Supp.2d 536 (U. S.D. C. E.D. Va. 1998); Sherron v. Private Issue by Discover, 977 F. Supp. 2d 804 (U.S.D.C. N.D. Miss. 1997); Hughes v. Fidelity Bank, 709 F. Supp.2d 639 (U.S.D.C. E.D. Pa. 1989).

### JURISDICTION

- 12. Jurisdiction of this court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1681p and 28 U.S.C. § 1331
- 13. Plaintiff has performed all conditions precedent to the bringing of this action.
- 14. Defendant regularly conducts business in the state of California, therefore establishing personal jurisdiction.
- 15. Venue in this District is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a) and 15 U.S.C. § 1681, in that Defendant regularly conducts business in this District and the occurrences which give

rise to this action occurred in this district. Further, Plaintiff resides in this district.

16. Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial on any and all issues qualified for a jury trial.

### PRIVATE RIGHT OF REMEDY

- 17. 15 U.S.C. § 1681n and § 1681o refer to consumer' ability to bring civil liability action against users/furnishers of information for willful and negligent noncompliance respectively, with any provisions of the FCRA.
- 18. Gorman v. MBNA America Bank, N.A. No. 06-17226 further established that consumers are entitled to a private remedy against Furnishers for noncompliance with their obligations enforced under § 1681s-2(b).

### **PARTIES**

- 19. Plaintiff, CARLA MARIA CREHIN, a natural person, is an adult individual who resides in the Ladera Ranch, in the County of Orange, in the State of California.
- 20. Plaintiff is a consumer as defined by 15 U.S.C § 1692a(3), and is a "person" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(b).
- 21. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that Defendant is a Corporation, and regularly conducts business in the state of California.
- 22. Defendant operates as a collection agency as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(b) and a furnisher of information as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2 of the FCRA.
- 23. Wherever this complaint alleges that any Defendant did any act or thing, it is meant that it, its directors, officers, agents, employees, or the directors, agents or employees of its subsidiaries, performed or participated in such act or thing, and in each instance that such act or thing was authorized or ratified by, and done on behalf of and under the direct control of that Defendant.
- 24. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant is responsible for the acts, occurrences and transactions as officers, directors, or managing agents of Defendant or as its agents, servants, employees and/or joint venturers and as set forth in this complaint, and that each of them is legally liable to Plaintiff as set forth below and herein:

11

12

13 14

16

15

18

17

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27 28

- Said officers, directors, or managing agents of Defendant personally acted willfully with respect to the matters alleged in this Complaint;
- Said officers, directors, or managing agents of Defendant personally b. authorized, approved of, adopted, and/or ratified the acts alleged herein or the agents, servants, employees and/or joint venturers of Defendant did so act;
- Said officers, directors, or managing agents of Defendant personally c. participated in the acts alleged herein of Defendant;
- d. Said officers, directors or managing agents of Defendant personally had close supervision of their agents, servants, employees and/or joint venturers of Defendant;
- Said officers, directors or managing agents of Defendant personally were familiar with the facts regarding the matters alleged herein;
- f. Said officers, directors or managing agents of Defendant personally failed to investigate the circumstances appertaining to the acts alleged herein. They also failed and refused to repudiate the herein alleged actions and failed to redress the harm done to Plaintiff. Further, said officers, directors or managing agents, servants, employees and/or joint venturers of Defendant, even after learning of the acts of the agents, servants, employees and/or joint venturers of Defendant
- 25. Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for the relief prayed for in this Complaint, and any future amended Complaint. Further, Plaintiff alleges that each act alleged herein, whether by a named Defendant was expressly authorized or ratified by the Defendant.

## FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF VIOLATION OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT

- 26. Plaintiff alleges that the foregoing events, starting from the date of discovery of the credit inquiries made by Defendant, which is the subject of this complaint, occurred within the past year.
- 27. On or around August 2012, Plaintiff obtained his consumer reports from TransUnion, Equifax, and Experian and was shocked to find that Defendant had placed inaccurate and derogatory information in Plaintiff's Experian consumer report regarding a non-existent

alleged debt Defendant was attempting to collect on 12/5/2011 without Plaintiff's knowledge or authorization.

- 28. Experian is a consumer reporting agency within the meaning of FCRA, 15 U.S.C. §1681a(f).
- 29. Consumer report is a consumer report within the meaning of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. §1681a(d).
- 30. Upon research and review of company's profile available online, Plaintiff learned that Defendant is collection agencies that is in the business of collecting consumer debts.
- 31. Upon information and belief, at some point Defendant must have tried to purchase debts alleged to be owed by Plaintiff, considered purchasing debts alleged to be owed by Plaintiff, been assigned debts alleged to be owed by Plaintiff, or looked into Plaintiff's history as a potential debtor for overdue and unsatisfied account balances to collect on. At no point prior to the credit reviews did Plaintiff know of any such debts confirmed or alleged by Defendant to be the responsibility of the Plaintiff.
- 32. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §1681 by running credit inquiries into Plaintiff's consumer credit reports maintained by and with one or more of the three major credit reporting agencies, Experian, Equifax, and TransUnion, without Plaintiff's knowledge or authorization, and without having permissible purposes for conducting a credit review as defined under 15 U.S.C. § 1681b.
- 33. Specifically, on 12/5/2011, Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §1681, by pulling Plaintiff's consumer credit reports without the knowledge or consent of Plaintiff. Plaintiff had not requested reports from the Defendant for purpose of extending credit, employment, insurance underwriting, or any other purposes allowed under this section. (Exhibit "A").
- 34. At or about the time Defendant initiated the credit pulls of Plaintiff's consumer report:
  - a. Plaintiff did not authorize consumer reporting agency to furnish his consumer report to Defendant;
    - b. Plaintiff did not authorize Defendant to obtain his consumer reports from

consumer reporting agencies;

- c. Plaintiff did not apply for any credit, loans, or services with Defendant;
- d. Plaintiff did not have any contractual relationship for credit, loans or services with Defendant;
  - e. Plaintiff did not owe any debts to the Defendant;
  - f. Plaintiff did not owe any debt as the result of a judgment to any Defendant;
  - g. Plaintiff did not apply for any employment with Defendant
  - h. Plaintiff did not apply for any insurance with Defendant
- i. Plaintiff did not have any existing account(s) within the meaning of the Electronic Fund Transfer Act ("EFTA") § 903(2), pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f)(4) "the term 'account' means a demand deposit, savings deposit, or other asset account (other than an occasional or incidental credit balance in an 'open end credit plan' as defined in §1602(i) of this title), as described in regulations of the Board, established primarily for personal, family, or household purposes, but such term does not include an account held by a financial institution pursuant to a bona fide trust agreement...the terms 'open end credit plan' and 'open end consumer credit plan' mean a plan under which the creditor reasonably contemplates repeated transactions, which prescribes the terms of such transactions, and which provides for a finance charge which may be computed from time to time on the outstanding unpaid balance" or credit obligation with Defendant;
- j. Plaintiff did not issue any order to credit reporting agencies to furnish Plaintiff's consumer report to Defendant;
- k. No head of state or local child support enforcement agency requested credit reporting agencies to provide Plaintiff's consumer reports to Defendant;
- I. No agency administering a state plan under § 454 of the social security act (42 U.S.C. § 654) requested credit reporting agencies to provide Plaintiff's consumer report to Defendant;
- m. Plaintiff did not apply for any license or other benefit granted by a government instrumentality through Defendant;

- n. Plaintiff did not receive any "firm offer of credit or insurance" from Defendant.
- 35. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681 by ignoring Plaintiff's written disputes and requests for proof that the credit inquiries were run for a purpose recognized by law.
- 36. Defendant conducted the above described credit reviews of Plaintiff's records without communicating to him any debts and confirming the validity of any such alleged debts, even if such alleged debts did exist and were in its possession for collections.
- 37. Based on information and belief, Defendant had no lawful purpose for requesting, obtaining, and using Plaintiff's consumer report from Experian on 12/5/2011. Therefore, Defendant' request, acquisition, and use of Plaintiff's consumer report was in violation of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C 15 § 1681b(f).
- 38. Defendant' failure to comply with the FCRA when it requested, obtained, and used Plaintiff's Experian consumer report on 12/5/2011, was willful, as contemplated under 15 U.S.C. § 1681n under the FCRA. Defendant' said conduct damaged Plaintiff.
- 39. In the alternative, Defendant' failure to comply with the FCRA when it requested, obtained, and used Plaintiff's consumer report on 12/5/2011 was negligent, as contemplated under 15 U.S.C. § 16810 of the FCRA. Defendant' said conduct damaged Plaintiff.
- 40. On March 4, 2013, Plaintiff sent a letter to Defendant herein to mitigate their damages, if any, and reach an equitable settlement without the need for litigation due their reckless violation of The Fair Credit Reporting Act by accessing Plaintiff's credit report without permissible purposes per 15 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq. (Exhibit "B")
- 41. Discovery of Defendant's violation of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C § 1681b(f) occurred in August 2012 and is within the statute of limitations as defined by the FCRA, 15 U.S.C § 1681p.
- 42. In committing the acts against plaintiff as above alleged, Defendant subjected plaintiff to unfair credit reporting practices. Their violations include at least the following:
- a. Willfully obtaining and reviewing plaintiff's credit report from Experian without having permissible purpose as required by 15 U.S.C. §1681n.
  - b. Negligently obtaining Plaintiff's consumer report without a permissible

purpose as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1681o.

-- 43. Based on information and belief, the credit reviews and the credit inquiries were used as collection tactics by Defendant with intent to obtain information and thereby unfair advantage over plaintiff and/or harm plaintiff's good name and credit rating. The accusations stated in this cause of action directly relate to the allegations asserted through this complaint.

44. As a result of these unauthorized actions by Defendant, plaintiff has suffered damages and is entitled to actual damages, punitive damages as the court may allow, as well as reasonable costs and attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681(n).

## SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA CONSUMER CREDIT REPORTING AGENCIES ACT

- 45. Paragraphs 1 through 44 are re-alleged as though fully set forth herein.
- 46. Plaintiff is a consumer within the meaning of the FCRA, Title 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(c).
- 47. Experian is a consumer reporting agency within the meaning of FCRA, Title 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f).
- 48. Consumer report is a consumer report within the meaning of the FCRA, Title 15 U.S.C. §1681a(d).
- 49. The Fair Credit Reporting Act, Title 15 U.S.C. §1681b defines the permissible purposes for which a person may obtain a consumer credit report.
- 50. Defendant is a furnisher of information within the meaning of Fair Credit Reporting Act, Title 15 U.S.C. 1681s-2.
- 51. On 12/5/2011, Defendant obtained Plaintiff's consumer report without any permissible purpose and without Plaintiff's consent, which constitutes a willful and gross violation of California Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act §1785.19 and §1785.11(c).
- 52. Plaintiff has never been informed, nor notified by Defendant, by any lawful means for any permissible purpose in justifying the pulling of Plaintiff Credit/Debt History which constitutes a statutory violation under California Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act, Title§1785.19.

- 53. Defendant had a duty of due care to properly ascertain if there was a permissible purpose under California Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act §1785.19, before obtaining Plaintiff's consumer report and obtain Plaintiff's consent prior to arbitrarily pulling Credit/Debt Report, which constitutes a breach of a Duty of due care by Defendant for failing to do so.
- 54. Plaintiff had no account established with Defendant that would have given Defendant any permissible purpose to obtain Plaintiff's consumer report and therefore Plaintiff is entitled to damages for statutory violation and breach of said duty.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant as follows:

- 1. For statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681n and 15 U.S.C. §1681o (a).
- 2. For statutory damages pursuant to California Civil Code §1785.31.
- 3. For punitive damages that would include any adverse ruling in state court.
- 4. For Plaintiff's costs in this action.
- 5. For reasonable attorney's fees incurred herein.
- 6. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

## JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all triable issues.

DATED this <u>23</u> day of September, 2013.

By:

Jim Q. Tran

Attorney For Plaintiff

EXHIBIT

A

# Case 8:13-cv-01497-SVW-JPR Document 1 Filed 09/24/13 Page 12 of 19 Page ID #:15

1	CARLA MARIA CREHIN Report As Of: 8/23/2012	Experian
2	Credit Inquiries	
3	Here you will find the names of those who have obtained a copy of your credit report, including lenders, landlords and employ your report for up to 2 years.	ers. Remember, inquiries remain on
4		
5	ARS NATIONAL SERVICES Equifax	โบอกรูปเกอก
6	Business Name ARS NATIONAL SERVICES Inquiry Date /12/5/2011 Business Type Other Collection Agencies	
7	760-735-2700 201 W GRAND AVE ESCONDIDO CA 92025	
8	ESCONDIDO DA SZOZO	
9		
10	·	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Case 8:13-cv-01497-SVW-JPR Document 1 Filed 09/24/13 Page 14 of 19 Page ID #:17

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES JUDGES

This case has been a	ssigned to District Judge	Stephen V. Wi	lson and the assigned	
	Jean P. Rosenbluth	_ •		
The case nu	ımber on all documents filed v	with the Court should	d read as follows:	
	SACV13-01497	SVW (JPRx)		
	Order 05-07 of the United Standard to he			
All discovery related	l motions should be noticed or	n the calendar of the	Magistrate Judge.	
		Clerk, U. S. Dis	strict Court	
September 24, 201	3	By A. Gonzale	<b>Z</b>	
Date		Deputy Cle	rk	
	NOTICE TO	COUNSEL		
A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).				
Subsequent documents m	ust be filed at the following lo	ocation:		
Western Division 312 N. Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 9001		St., Ste 1053	Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth Street, Room 134 Riverside, CA 92501	
Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.				

## Case 8:13-cv-01497 NFT LIPS DEPAREMENTAL CENTRAL CONSTANCES OF CASE AND PAGE ID #:19 CIVIL COVER SHEET

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS ( Check box if you are representing yourself [ ] ) DEFENDANTS ( Check box if you are representing yourself [ ] )					resenting yourself 🔲 )		
CARLA MARIA CREHIN			AR	ARS NATIONAL SERVICES			
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, are representing yourself, JIM Q. TRAN (SBN# 274880) COAST LAW CENTER 2677 NORTH MAIN STREET, SI SANTA ANA, CA 714-242-593	provide same informa UITE #520		(b) are	(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same information.)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDICT	「ION (Place an X in or	ne box only.)	III. CITIZ	ENSHIP OF PRI	NCIPAL PARTIES-For Di	versity Cases Only	
1. U.S. Government Plaintiff	3. Federal Qu Government	Not a Party)	Citizen of T	This State	1 1 Incorporated or of Business in the	Principal Place PTF DEF is State 4 4	
2. U.S. Government Defendant	4. Diversity (I	ndicate Citizenship	Citizen or S	itizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place of Business in Another State 5 itizen or Subject of a oreign Country 3 7 Foreign Nation 6			
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X i	n one box only.)				MATERIAL 2000 CONTROL OF THE STATE OF THE ST		
1. Original 2. R	, .	3. Remanded from Appellate Court	4. Reinsta Reoper	1 1	sferred from Another	Multi- District igation	
V. REQUESTED IN COM	IPLAINT: JURY DE	MAND: 🔀 Yes 🗌	] No	(Check "Yes" on	ly if demanded in comp	laint.)	
CLASS ACTION under I	F.R.Cv.P. 23:	∕es ⊠No	[X] !	MONEY DEMAN	NDED IN COMPLAINT:	\$ 1000	
			ing and wri	ite a brief statement	t of cause. Do not cite jurisdic	tional statutes unless diversity.)	
Violation of Fair Credit Repor	ting Act, 15 U.S.C 1681						
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (	Place an X in one bo	x only).					
OTHER STATUTES	CONTRACT	REAL PROPERTY CONT		IMIGRATION	PRISONER PETITIONS	PROPERTY RIGHTS	
375 False Claims Act	110 Insurance	240 Torts to Land		2 Naturalization plication	Habeas Corpus:	820 Copyrights	
400 State Reapportionment	120 Marine	245 Tort Product Liability	465	5 Other	463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate	830 Patent	
410 Antitrust	130 Miller Act	290 All Other Real Property	□ lmr	migration Actions TORTS	Sentence 530 General	840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY	
430 Banks and Banking	140 Negotiable Instrument	TORTS		ONAL PROPERTY	535 Death Penalty	861 HIA (1395ff)	
450 Commerce/ICC Rates/Etc.	150 Recovery of Overpayment &	PERSONAL PROPERTY 310 Airplane	370	0 Other Fraud	Other:	862 Black Lung (923)	
460 Deportation	Enforcement of	315 Airplane	371	1 Truth in Lending	540 Mandamus/Other	863 DIWC/DIWW (405 (g))	
470 Racketeer Influ-	Judgment  151 Medicare Act	Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &		0 Other Personal operty Damage	550 Civil Rights	864 SSID Title XVI	
enced & Corrupt Org.    X   480 Consumer Credit	152 Recovery of	□ Slander	385	5 Property Damage	555 Prison Condition	865 RSI (405 (g))	
490 Cable/Sat TV	Defaulted Student	330 Fed. Employers	Fro Pro	oduct Liability	Conditions of	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	
850 Securities/Com-	Loan (Excl. Vet.)	340 Marine		ANKRUPTCY 2 Appeal 28	Confinement FORFEITURE/PENALTY	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	
☐ modities/Exchange	153 Recovery of Overpayment of	345 Marine Product	t L ÜŚ	C 158	625 Drug Related	871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC	
890 Other Statutory Actions	Vet. Benefits  160 Stockholders'	350 Motor Vehicle		3 Withdrawal 28 SC 157	USC 881	7609	
891 Agricultural Acts	Suits	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	1	IVIL RIGHTS	690 Other		
893 Environmental Matters	190 Other	- 360 Other Personal	441	0 Other Civil Rights	LABOR		
895 Freedom of Info.	Contract 195 Contract	Injury 362 Personal Injury	/-	1 Voting	710 Fair Labor Standards Act		
L. Act	Product Liability	☐ Med Malpratice	444	2 Employment 3 Housing/	720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations		
896 Arbitration	196 Franchise	365 Personal Injury Product Liability	-   L.J. Aco	comodations	740 Railway Labor Act		
899 Admin. Procedures Act/Review of Appeal of	REAL PROPERTY	367 Health Care/		5 American with sabilities-	751 Family and Medical		
Agency Decision	Condemnation	Personal Injury Product Liability	Em	nployment 6 American with	Leave Act		
950 Constitutionality of	220 Foreclosure	368 Asbestos	Dis	sabilities-Other	└─ Litigation	<u></u>	
State Statutes	230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	Personal Injury Product Liability		18 Education	791 Employee Ret, Inc. Security Act		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number: SACV13-01497 SVW (JPRx)							

CV-71 (09/13) CIVIL COVER SHEET

Page 1 of 3

## Case 8:13-cv-01497-SVW-JPR Document 1 Filed 09/24/13 Page 17 of 19 Page ID #:20

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII. VENUE: Your answers to the questions below will determine the division of the Court to which this case will most likely be initially assigned. This initial assignment is subject to change, in accordance with the Court's General Orders, upon review by the Court of your Complaint or Notice of Removal.

Question A: Was this case removed from state court?	STATE CASE WAS PE	ATE CASE WAS PENDING IN THE COUNTY OF:		INITIAL DIVISION IN CACD IS:		
Yes X No	Authorities			Western		
If "no, " go to Question B. If "yes," check the	Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo				Western	
box to the right that applies, enter the corresponding division in response to	Orange	<u> Januaren (m. 1944) (m. 1945) (m. 1947) (m. 1</u>		Southern		
Question D, below, and skip to Section IX.	Riverside or San Bernardino				Eastern	
				······································		
Question B: Is the United States, or one of its agencies or employees, a party to this	If the United States, or or	ne of its agencies	or employees, is a party, is	it:	INITIA	ı
action?	A PLAINTIFF?		A DEFENDANT?		DIVISION IN	
Yes 🔀 No	Then check the box below for the co which the majority of DEFENDANTS				CACD IS:	
If "no, " go to Question C. If "yes," check the	Los Angeles	l	os Angeles		Wester	'n
box to the right that applies, enter the corresponding division in response to	Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Obispo		Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo		Western	
Question D, below, and skip to Section IX.	Orange		)range		Southern	
	Riverside or San Bernardino	□ F	Riverside or San Bernardino		Eastern	
	Other		)ther		Western	
Question C: Location of plaintiffs, defendants, and claims?  Indicate the location in which a majority of plaintiffs reside: Indicate the location in which a majority of defendants reside:	ngeles Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo Counties	Orange County	Riverside or San Bernardino Counties		t of California	Other
Indicate the location in which a majority of claims arose:		X				
1 garage 2 garage						
C.1. Is either of the following true? If so, c	heck the one that applies:	C.2. Is either	of the following true? If	so, check the	one that applies:	
2 or more answers in Column C		2 or more answers in Column D				
only 1 answer in Column C and no	only 1 answer in Column D and no answers in Column C					
Your case will initially be SOUTHERN DIV Enter "Southern" in response t	Your case will initially be assigned to the EASTERN DIVISION. Enter "Eastern" in response to Question D, below.					
If none applies, answer ques	If none applies, go to the box below.					
		initially be assign STERN DIVISION. response to Que				
Question D: Initial Division?	INITIAL DIVISION IN CACD					
Enter the initial division determined by Ques	Southern Division					

CV-71 (09/13) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 2 of 3

## \* Case 8:13-cv-01497-SVW-JPR Document 1 Filed 09/24/13 Page 18 of 19 Page ID #:21

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

IX(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has this action been previously filed in this court and dismissed, remanded or closed? X NO YES						
If yes, list case numb	per(s):					
IX(b). RELATED CASE	<b>S</b> : Have any case	s been previously filed in this court that are related to the present case?	X NO	YES		
If yes, list case numb	per(s):					
Civil cases are deemed r	elated if a previou	ısly filed case and the present case:				
(Check all boxes that app	ly) A. Arise fi	rom the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or		and the control of th		
	B. Call for	determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fac	t; or			
	C. For oth	ner reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; o	r			
D. Involve the same patent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.						
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR SELF-REPRESENTED LITIGANT):  DATE: 9.24-2013						
Notice to Counsel/Parties: The CV-71 (JS-44) Civil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed but is used by the Clerk of the Court for the purpose of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet).						
Key to Statistical codes relating to Social Security Cases:						
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action				
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Soci- include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as provide (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))				
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine He $923$ )	alth and Safety A	ct of 1969. (30 U.S.C.		
All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))						
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under	r Title 2 of the Soc	ial Security Act, as		

All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as

All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended.

amended. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))

SSID

RSI

864

865

CV-71 (09/13) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 3 of 3

JIM Q. TRAN	(SBN# 274880)
COAST LAW	CENTER
2677 NORTH	MAIN STREET, SUITE 520

UNITED STATES I CENTRAL DISTRIC	DISTRICT COURT T OF CALIFORNIA
CARLA MARIA CREHIN	CASE NUMBER
PLAINTIFF(S) V.	SACV13-01497 SVW (JPRx)
ARS NATIONAL SERVICES	
DEFENDANT(S).	SUMMONS
TO: DEFENDANT(S):  A lawsuit has been filed against you.  Within21 days after service of this summon must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached counterclaim cross-claim or a motion under Rule 1 or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney,JIN 2677 NORTH MAIN STREET, SUITE 520 SANTA A judgment by default will be entered against you for the ryour answer or motion with the court.	2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer MQ. TRAN, whose address is NA, CA. If you fail to do so,
Dated: <u>9-24-13</u>	By:  Advitation Court  (Seal of the Court)

[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].

CV-01A (10/11 SUMMONS